

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/326638636>

A Study on Sustainable Supply Chain Governance for Successful Investment

Article · March 2018

DOI: 10.20545/iscj.v4i06.167

CITATIONS

8

READS

156

4 authors:



Mahadi Hasan Miraz
Sunway University

62 PUBLICATIONS 314 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Mohammad Ismail Majumder
Bangladesh University of Professionals

8 PUBLICATIONS 40 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Yeaseen Chowdhury
Bangladesh University of Professionals

51 PUBLICATIONS 65 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Dr. Md. Mamun Habib
Independent University, Bangladesh

159 PUBLICATIONS 836 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Web recommended promotion for CBT [View project](#)



Prostate Cancer [View project](#)

A Study on Sustainable Supply Chain Governance for Successful Investment

Mahadi Hasan Miraz

School of Technology Management and Logistics

PhD, University Utara Malaysia, Malaysia.

mahadimiraz1@gmail.com

M Ismail Majumder

Commander, Bangladesh Navy

A H M Yeaseen Chowdhury

Faculty of Business Studies, Bangladesh University of Professionals

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Md. Mamun Habib

BRAC Business School (BBS), BRAC University, Bangladesh

Visiting Scientist, University of Texas - Arlington (UTA), USA

mamunhabib@gmail.com

DOI: [10.20545/isctj.v4i06.167](https://doi.org/10.20545/isctj.v4i06.167)

Abstract- This research is to explore the interaction mechanisms between persona and organizational in supply chain management. An exploratory multiple case study approach in Malaysia in applied. However, there has been a high demand for a more effective, efficient and steady maintenance of the supply chain web by investors and shareholders. Therefore, organizations are focusing on how to sustain and improve the low-cost regions among supply chain members, in order to meet up with shareholders expectations. Needless to say, it is still an enormous task to influence and unify the sustainability efforts of members of the supply chain web.

Keywords: Sustainability, Supply chain influence, Organization performance.

1. Introduction

In recent times economic globalization is going on a fast tempo, countries are now coming together as one big Global economy thereby, increasing cross border trade and dwindling production of goods and

services [1]. As a result the threshold of the supply chain network of organization has spread over a large geographical area and out sourcing of materials from low cost countries has been widely embraced by organizations. As a result countries like Vietnam, Bangladesh, China, and India have become the focal point of global apparel production. As a result of this change sustaining and maintaining the supply chain network has become a big challenge for organizations [2]; [3].

2. Literature Review

As defined in the outline, the newest works reviews disclose that the social influence of the SCM governance influence successful investment still holdup overdue environmental considerations [29]. However, current assessment documents on communal supply chain management [28, 30, 31, and 32] can be studied as considerable initial points to generate socially linked research, contribution theoretic and conceptual frameworks that point at specific research gaps. Köksal et al. [28] studied papers that exactly allocated with the various

industries and offered a beneficial conceptual framework to comprehend connected drivers, enablers, and barriers for the operation of social risk management to invest for successful practices in supply chains management. While social risk management to invest in practices include collaboration and assessment [33], and reporting on the actions of a pivotal corporation, they also assistance to alleviate outside risks and stakeholder pressures such as harmful press in the media and recover social presentation in the supply chain management [28].

Furthermore, the four main instruments to guarantee maintainable development of a supply chain, decision-makers could use other instruments such as moral threat prevention and penalty, joint investment, national uniqueness, information confidentiality and exposé, etc. to proactively analyze and act in emerging a supply chain sustainably. In fact, sketch from the relationship marketing literature [23, 24], a supply chain could be measured as relationships in networks in which associates interrelate through an interface process to create value for each other collaboratively [25]. In the process of cooperation, the idea of relationship advance is essential, and handling sustainably the growth and upkeep of these collaborative relationships will need many equally complementary mechanisms such as the ones stated above and perhaps honesty, kindness, dependability, promise and industry [26, 27]. It should be prominent that the use of these devices and comprehensive operation of these mechanisms should depend on the specific situation of a supply chain and the different features of each stage.

3. Discussion

In this section we elaborate the SCM integration and personal relation in the organization which effect in SCM governance and successful investment.

3.1 Integrated supply chain

According to [3] the involvement of big companies in sustainable plans has moved from just implementing sustainability to examining and investigating different sustainability governance

approaches [4]; [5]. In addition to this, the academia and practitioners have realized that there is need for the adoption of collaborative approaches. Especially because cooperative and performance-based incentives among members of the supply chain web are believed to be a more efficient way to attain sustainability in the supply chain [6]. Additionally, it is clear that the top-down system of sustainable governance which is responsible for mandating sustainable codes to supply chain members is not good for production rather the buyer-supplier relational approach is more productive and functional [7]; [8].

3.2 Personal and organizational relationship

The structure and method of supply chain governance point that the high level of teamwork and corporation among the supply chain speed up the pace of sustainability through trust and commitment among the supply chain stakeholders [10], as a result, to attain supply chain sustainability there has to be some kind of relational practices between supply chain members and supply chain governance [12]; [13].

Furthermore, the ingenuity of having a relational practice in supply chain governance to bring about sustainability largely depends on the supply chain web structure. This is because, sustaining relational practice in a complex supply web will most likely be very difficult to manage and control [14]. Therefore, it is important to experiment and examine the regulating effect of supply chain design on the relationship between supply chain governance, supply chain sustainability and supply chain social capital [15]; [16].

This is motivated by the limited evidence available in extant studies on the mediating effect of relational capital and the moderating effects of supply chain structure on the relationship between supply chain governance and sustainability [17]; [18]. Therefore, this study sets out to investigate the mediating effect of relational capital and the moderating effects of supply chain structure on the relationship between supply chain governance and sustainability [19]; [20].

4. Methodology

This study employs a mixed methods research by incorporating both qualitative and quantitative research approaches [13]. Qualitative approach makes use of the field study method which is carried out through a semi-structured interview with fifteen (15) decision makers from RMG manufacturing companies, including buyers and suppliers in Bangladesh. Subsequently, a theoretical framework was developed based on the findings of extant studies in supply chain management (fig 1). Based on the presented framework, pertinent hypothesis are developed. The measurements for different constructs of the theoretical framework are adopted and established through a pre-test procedure conducted among nine (9) experts.

Meanwhile in quantitative approach, a survey is conducted among 330 respondents from the clothing industry in Bangladesh which comprises clothing manufacturers, their supplier and also buyers. Lastly 296 completed responses were received; the received data were analyzed using the structural equation modeling (SEM) approach and regression analysis Hays PROCESS model. Common bias and the non- response bias assessment were carried out at the preliminary analysis in SPSS. Also the psychometric properties of the variables were attained before testing the following hypotheses:

H1: Supply chain relational capital mediates the relationship between supply chain governance and sustainability.

H2: Supply chain governance positively influences on supply chain sustainability.

H3: Supply chain design moderates the relationship between supply chain governance, relational capital and supply chain sustainability.

5. Outcomes

From the measurement model results presented in this study, the loadings of the items corresponding to their constructs are greater than 0.7 and significant at $p < 0.01$. All the composite reliabilities (CRs) and average variance extracted

(AVE) go beyond the minimum threshold of 0.5 and 0.7, respectively [15]; [16]; [22]. Furthermore, loading of the items with similar construct are higher than the loading with any other constructs. It is also shown that the square root of AVE is greater than the off-diagonal elements across the row and down the columns which signifies that discriminant validity of the measurement model is validated. Also, the measurement model is valid as the results of reliability, discriminant validity and content validity evinced.

To test the conditional effects we employ the use of regression analysis using PROCESS macro model number 59. The latent variable scores of the constructs, gotten from partial least square based (PLS) structural equation modeling (SEM), are used to run the regression models. Nonparametric bootstrapping [19]; [20] is used to derive the standard errors of the estimates.

6. Value and Implication

The models are critically examined by investigating the explanatory power of the models, the t-value of each path coefficient and the bootstrap results of conditional effect at 95% confidence interval [22]. The T-value of each path coefficient is calculated to examine the relationships among the variable as hypothesized in this research. In the same vein, the explicit level of the proposed model was examined by rating the Variance explained or R square (R²) value of endogenous construct on supply chain sustainability [8]; [9]. Therefore the study results support the hypothesized relationships.

7. Conclusion

The findings revealed in this study have important theoretical and practical implications. The findings reported demonstrate that, supply managers will be able to devise strategies to revamp the governance system to advance the supply chain sustainability initiatives. Similarly, supply managers will also be strengthened to set plans and procedure on supply chain design issues and also the buyer-supplier relational issues for carrying out the sustainability practices.

References:

1. Albertini, E. 2013. Does Environmental Management Improve Financial Performance? A Meta-Analytical Review. *Organization & Environment*, 26, 431-457.
2. Aravind, D. & Christmann, P. Substantive Versus Symbolic Implementation Of Iso 14001: The Role Of Corporate Headquarters. *Academy Of Management Proceedings*, 2007. *Academy Of Management*, 1-6.
3. Aravind, D. & Christmann, P. 2011. Decoupling Of Standard Implementation From Certification: Does Quality Of Iso 14001 Implementation Affect Facilities' Environmental Performance? *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 21, 73-102.
4. Piotrowicz, W. and Cuthbertson, R. (2015). Performance measurement and metrics in supply chains: an exploratory study. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*. 64(8): 1068-1091.
5. Quang, T. H., Sampaio, P. et al. (2016). An extensive structural model of supply chain quality management and firm performance. *International Journal of Quality and Reliability Management*, 33(4): 444-464.
6. Sweeney, E., Grant, D. B. et al. (2015). The implementation of supply chain management theory in practice: an empirical investigation. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, 20(1): 56-70.
7. Vanichchinchai, A. (2014). Supply chain management, supply performance and total quality management: An organizational characteristic analysis. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, 22(2): 126-148.
8. Weckenmann, A., Akkasogul, G. et al. (2015). Quality management - history and trends. *The TQM Journal*, 27(3): 281-293.
9. Christmann, P. & Taylor, G. 2006. Firm Self-Regulation Through International Certifiable Standards: Determinants Of Symbolic Versus Substantive Implementation. *Journal Of International Business Studies*, 37, 863-878.49
10. Del Brío, J. Á. & Junquera, B. 2003. Influence Of The Perception Of The External Environmental Pressures On Obtaining The Iso 14001 Standard In Spanish Industrial Companies. *International Journal Of Production Research*, 41, 337-348.
11. Ferrón-Vílchez, V. 2016. Does Symbolism Benefit Environmental And Business Performance In The Adoption Of Iso 14001? *Journal Of Environmental Management*, 183, 882-894.
12. Wu, I.-L., Chuang, C.-H. and Hsu, C.-H. (2014). Information sharing and collaborative behaviours in enabling supply chain performance: a social exchange perspective. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 148(2): 122-132.
13. Iatridis, K. & Kesidou, E. 2016. What Drives Substantive Versus Symbolic Implementation Of Iso 14001 In A Time Of Economic Crisis? Insights From Greek Manufacturing Companies. *Journal Of Business Ethics*, 1-19.
14. Jiang, R. J. & Bansal, P. 2003. Seeing The Need For Iso 14001. *Journal Of Management Studies*, 40, 1047-1067.
15. Genovese, A., Acquaye, A. A., Figueroa, A., & Koh, S. L. (2017). Sustainable supply chain management and the transition towards a circular economy: Evidence and some applications. *Omega*, 66, 344-357.

16. Melnyk, S. A., Sroufe, R. P. & Calantone, R. 2003. Assessing The Impact Of Environmental Management Systems On Corporate And Environmental Performance. *Journal Of Operations Management*, 21, 329-351.
17. Montabon, F., Melnyk, S. A., Sroufe, R. & Calantone, R. J. 2000. Iso 14000: Assessing Its Perceived Impact On Corporate Performance. *Journal Of Supply Chain Management*, 36, 4-16.
18. Potoski, M. & Prakash, A. 2005. Covenants With Weak Swords: Iso 14001 And Facilities' Environmental Performance. *Journal Of Policy Analysis And Management*, 24, 745-769.
19. Prajogo, D., Huo, B. & Han, Z. 2012. The Effects Of Different Aspects Of Iso 9000 Implementation On Key Supply Chain Management Practices And Operational Performance. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, 17, 306-322.
20. Rondinelli, D. & Vastag, G. 2000. Panacea, Common Sense, Or Just A Label?: The Value Of Iso 14001 Environmental Management Systems. *European Management Journal*, 18, 499-510.
21. Russo, M. V. Institutional Change And Theories Of Organizational Strategy: Iso 14000 And Environmental Management In The Electronics Industry. Academy Of Management Conference, 2001.
22. Tung, A., Baird, K. & Schoch, H. 2014. The Association Between The Adoption Of
23. An Environmental Management System With Organisational Environmental Performance. *Australasian Journal Of Environmental Management*, 21, 281-296.
24. Vachon, S. & Klassen, R. D. 2008. Environmental Management And Manufacturing Performance: The Role Of Collaboration In The Supply Chain. *International Journal Of Production Economics*, 111, 299-315.
25. Thorelli, H.B. Networks: Between markets and hierarchies. *Strateg. Manag. J.* **1986**, 7, 37-51.
26. Gummesson, E. Relationship marketing as a paradigm shift: Some conclusions from the 30R approach. *Manag. Decis.* **1997**, 35, 267-272. Yang, J.; Wang, J.; Wong, C.W.; Lai, K.H. Relational stability and alliance performance in supply chain. *Omega* **2008**, 36, 600-608.
27. Chen, J.V.; Yen, D.C.; Rajkumar, T.M.; Tomochko, N.A. The antecedent factors on trust and commitment in supply chain relationships. *Comput. Stand. Interfaces* **2011**, 33, 262-270.
28. Cheng, J.H.; Yeh, C.H.; Tu, C.W. Trust and knowledge sharing in green supply chains. *SCM Int. J.* **2008**, 13, 283-295.
29. Liu, W.; Bai, E.; Liu, L.; Wei, W. A Framework of Sustainable Service Supply Chain Management: A Literature Review and Research Agenda. *Sustainability* **2017**, 9, 421.
30. Singh, A.; Trivedi, A. Sustainable green supply chain management: Trends and current practices. *Compet. Rev.* **2016**, 26, 265-288.
31. Centobelli, P.; Cerchione, R.; Esposito, E. Environmental Sustainability and Energy-Efficient Supply Chain
32. Management: A Review of Research Trends and Proposed Guidelines. *Energies* **2018**, 11, 275.
33. Masi, D.; Day, S.; Godsell, J. Supply Chain Configurations in the Circular Economy: A Systematic Literature Review. *Sustainability* **2017**, 9, 1602.
34. Köksal, D.; Strähle, J.; Müller, M.; Freise, M. Social Sustainable Supply Chain Management in the Textile and Apparel Industry—A Literature Review. *Sustainability* **2017**, 9, 100.
35. Nakamba, C.C.; Chan, P.W.; Sharmina, M. How does social sustainability feature in studies of supply chain management? A review and research agenda. *Supply Chain Manag. Int. J.* **2017**, 22, 522-541.
36. Grimm, J.H. Ensuring Sub-Suppliers' Compliance with Corporate Sustainability

Standards in Supply Chains. In Supply Management Research; Advanced Studies in Supply Management; Springer Gabler: Wiesbaden, Germany, 2016; pp. 37–57.